

NON-EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT SYMMETRIC FORMS ON GENERALIZED JACOBSON–WITT ALGEBRAS REVISITED

PASHA ZUSMANOVICH

ABSTRACT. We provide a short alternative homological argument showing that any invariant symmetric bilinear form on simple modular generalized Jacobson–Witt algebras vanishes, and outline another, deformation-theoretic one, allowing to describe such forms on simple modular Lie algebras of contact type.

Recall that a symmetric bilinear form $\omega : L \times L \rightarrow K$ on a Lie algebra L over a field K is called *invariant* if

$$\omega([z, x], y) + \omega(x, [z, y]) = 0$$

for any $x, y, z \in L$. The linear space of all such forms is an important invariant of a Lie algebra. For simple finite-dimensional modular Lie algebras of Cartan type, the description of this invariant was announced without proof in [D1] and then elaborated in [D2, §2], and independently in [F] and [SF, §4.6]. All these proofs are based on more or less direct computations, employing the graded structure of the underlying Lie algebra and the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem.

Here we propose a very short alternative proof for one of the four series of Lie algebras of Cartan type – namely, for generalized Jacobson–Witt algebras $W_n(\overline{m})$ (also called Lie algebras of the general Cartan type in the earlier literature, including the foundational paper [KS]). The proof is based on the evaluation of the second homology of a certain Lie algebra in two ways, one of them involves the space of invariant symmetric bilinear forms in question, and allows to treat the finite-dimensional modular and infinite-dimensional cases in a uniform way.

Theorem. *Let A be an associative commutative algebra with unit over a field K of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, and L be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}(A)$ which is simultaneously a free finite-dimensional A -submodule of $\text{Der}(A)$, such that*

$$(1) \quad \text{Hom}_A(L, A) = A \cdot \{d(a) \mid a \in A\},$$

where, for each $a \in A$, the map $d(a) : L \rightarrow A$ is defined by the rule $d(a)(D) = D(a)$ for $D \in L$. Then any invariant symmetric bilinear form on L vanishes.

Here and below, $\text{Der}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra of derivations of an algebra A .

Proof. Let B be another associative commutative algebra with unit over K . We have obvious embeddings

$$L \simeq L \otimes 1 \subseteq \text{Der}(A) \otimes B \subseteq \text{Der}(A \otimes B).$$

Date: last revised December 31, 2009.

A previous version of this manuscript is available as [arXiv:0902.0038](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.0038).

Define another $(A \otimes B)$ -submodule $\mathcal{L}$ of $\text{Der}(A \otimes B)$ as $\mathcal{L} = (A \otimes B) \cdot (L \otimes 1)$. We have $\mathcal{L} = (A \cdot L) \otimes B = L \otimes B$, so $\mathcal{L}$ forms a Lie algebra, with Lie brackets defined as

$$[D_1 \otimes b_1, D_2 \otimes b_2] = [D_1, D_2] \otimes b_1 b_2$$

for $D_1, D_2 \in L$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$.

Obviously, $\mathcal{L}$ is a free $A \otimes B$ -module, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{A \otimes B}(\mathcal{L}, A \otimes B) &= \text{Hom}_{A \otimes B}(L \otimes B, A \otimes B) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(L, A) \otimes B \\ &= A \cdot \{d(a) \mid a \in A\} \otimes B = (A \otimes B) \cdot \langle d(a \otimes b) \mid a \in A, b \in B \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. condition (1) is satisfied for the pair $(\mathcal{L}, A \otimes B)$ too.

Now we are in position to apply to both algebras L and $\mathcal{L}$ Theorem 7.1 of [S] which tells that $H_2(L, K)$ (the second homology of L with trivial coefficients) is isomorphic to the first cohomology $H^1(C_A^\bullet(L, A))$ of the corresponding generalized de Rham complex $C_A^\bullet(L, A)$ if $\dim_A L = 1$, and vanishes if $\dim_A L > 1$. Similarly, $H_2(\mathcal{L}, K)$ is isomorphic to $H^1(C_{A \otimes B}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, A \otimes B))$ if $\dim_{A \otimes B} \mathcal{L} = 1$ and vanishes if $\dim_{A \otimes B} \mathcal{L} > 1$. Note that $\dim_{A \otimes B} \mathcal{L} = \dim_{A \otimes B} L \otimes B = \dim_A L$.

The complex $C_A^\bullet(L, A)$ consists of A -multilinear Chevalley–Eilenberg cochains $C^\bullet(L, A)$, with differential defined as in the standard Chevalley–Eilenberg complex. Though this and similar complexes were extensively studied in relation with such structures as Lie–Rinehart algebras, Lie algebroids, etc., we failed to find the following simple statement explicitly in the literature.

Lemma. $H^n(C_{A \otimes B}^\bullet(L \otimes B, A \otimes B)) \simeq H^n(C_A^\bullet(L, A)) \otimes B$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{A \otimes B}((L \otimes B)^{\otimes n}, A \otimes B) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{A \otimes B}(L^{\otimes n} \otimes B^{\otimes n}, A \otimes B) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(L^{\otimes n}, A) \otimes \text{Hom}_B(B^{\otimes n}, B). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Hom}_B(B^{\otimes n}, B)$ consists exactly of the linear span of maps of the form

$$b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \mapsto b_1 \cdots b_n b$$

for $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ and some fixed $b \in B$, and hence is isomorphic to B .

Passing to the skew-symmetric cochains, we have

$$(2) \quad C_{A \otimes B}^n(L \otimes B, A \otimes B) \simeq C_A^n(L, A) \otimes B,$$

all $(A \otimes B)$ -multilinear cochains on the left-hand side being the linear span of maps of the form

$$(3) \quad (D_1 \otimes b_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (D_n \otimes b_n) \mapsto \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_n) \otimes b_1 \cdots b_n b,$$

for certain $\varphi \in C_A^n(L, A)$, $b \in B$, and where $D_1, \dots, D_n \in L$, $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$.

Let us see how the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential $d_{L \otimes B}$ interacts with isomorphism (2). Let $\Phi \in C_{A \otimes B}^n(L \otimes B, A \otimes B)$ be determined by the formula (3). Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{L \otimes B} \Phi(D_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, D_{n+1} \otimes b_{n+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} (D_i \otimes b_i) \Phi(D_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, \widehat{D_i \otimes b_i}, \dots, D_{n+1} \otimes b_{n+1}) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \Phi([D_i \otimes b_i, D_j \otimes b_j], D_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, \widehat{D_i \otimes b_i}, \dots, \widehat{D_j \otimes b_j}, \\
&\quad \dots, D_{n+1} \otimes b_{n+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} D_i \varphi(D_1, \dots, \widehat{D_i}, \dots, D_{n+1}) \otimes b_i b_1 \dots \widehat{b_i} \dots b_{n+1} b \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([D_i, D_j], D_1, \dots, \widehat{D_i}, \dots, \widehat{D_j}, \dots, D_{n+1}) \\
&\quad \otimes b_i b_j b_1 \dots \widehat{b_i} \dots \widehat{b_j} \dots b_{n+1} b \\
&= d_L \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_{n+1}) \otimes b_1 \dots b_{n+1} b,
\end{aligned}$$

where d_L is the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential in the complex $C_A^\bullet(L, A)$, and the statement of the lemma follows. $\square$

Continuation of the proof of Theorem. On the other hand, the equality $[aD, D] = D(a)D$ for any $D \in L, a \in A$, together with the freeness of L over A , implies that $[L, L] = L$, hence $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] = \mathcal{L}$, and by [Z, Theorem 0.1]

$$(4) \quad H_2(\mathcal{L}, K) = H_2(L \otimes B, K) \simeq \left(H_2(L, K) \otimes B \right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}(L) \otimes HC_1(B) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(L)$ denotes the space of symmetric coinvariants

$$\frac{L \vee L}{\{[z, x] \vee y + x \vee [z, y] \mid x, y, z \in L\}},$$

and $HC_1(B)$ denotes the first cyclic homology of B . The space of invariant symmetric bilinear forms is dual to $\mathcal{B}(L)$, so it is sufficient to prove the vanishing of $\mathcal{B}(L)$.

If $\dim_A L = 1$, then

$$(5) \quad H_2(\mathcal{L}, K) \simeq H^1(C_{A \otimes B}^\bullet(L \otimes B, A \otimes B)) \simeq H^1(C_A^\bullet(L, A)) \otimes B \simeq H_2(L, K) \otimes B,$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the lemma. It is obvious that this isomorphism is functorial, and from the proof of Theorem 0.1 in [Z] it follows that the isomorphism (4) is functorial, too. The comparison of (4) and (5) entails the vanishing of $\mathcal{B}(L) \otimes HC_1(B)$.

If $\dim_A L > 1$, the whole expression at the right side of (4) vanishes, and, in particular, $\mathcal{B}(L) \otimes HC_1(B)$ vanishes.

It remains to pick an algebra B such that $HC_1(B)$ does not vanish: for example, $B = K1 \oplus N$, where N is an algebra with trivial multiplication of dimension > 1 . Elementary calculation shows that $HC_1(B) \simeq N \wedge N$. Another example, relevant to modular Lie algebras, is $B = K[x]/(x^p)$, where $p > 0$ is the characteristic of the ground field K . Again, either elementary calculation, or reference to [L, Corollary 5.4.17] shows that $\dim HC_1(K[x]/(x^p)) = 1$. $\square$

Corollary 1 ([D1, Theorem 1], [D2, Corollary in §2] and [F, Theorem 4.2]). *Any invariant symmetric bilinear form on a finite-dimensional simple generalized Jacobson–Witt algebra over a field of characteristic > 3 , vanishes.*

Proof. It is well-known that such Lie algebras satisfy the condition of the theorem, being freely generated by the special derivations over a divided powers algebra (see, for example, [SF, §4.2]). The condition (1) is verified immediately. $\square$

Corollary 2 (partially implicit in [D3, §1]). *Any invariant symmetric bilinear form on an infinite-dimensional simple one-sided or two-sided Witt algebra over a field of characteristic zero, vanishes.*

Here, by a Witt algebra we mean the Lie algebra of all derivations of a certain associative commutative polynomial-like algebra in a finite number of variables, which is the algebra of ordinary polynomials or power series in the case of the one-sided Witt algebra, and the algebra of Laurent polynomials or Laurent power series in the case of the two-sided Witt algebra. This includes, among others, the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on the circle which is prominent in topology, physics, and other areas.

Proof of Corollary 2. Analogously, any such Lie algebra is a free module over the respective commutative associative algebra, and the condition (1) is obvious. $\square$

Unfortunately, the proof of the theorem and its corollaries cannot be easily extended to other types of Lie algebras of Cartan type (where it is applicable). Our proof is based on two results about the second homology of some kinds of algebras. The first one – about current Lie algebras from [Z] – holds for arbitrary Lie algebras, but the second one – about Lie algebras of derivations from [S] – holds only for particular type of algebras, what stipulates the conditions imposed in the theorem. It is possible that some of Skryabin’s theory could be developed for analogs of Lie algebras of other Cartan types, but such extension appears to be far from obvious: one could easily observe that the condition of freeness of L as an A -module, which is violated for Lie algebras of Cartan types other than Jacobson–Witt algebras, is crucial there.

However, even with these results at hand, one may try to pursue a different, more sophisticated homological approach. Let L be a simple Lie algebra of Cartan type embedded into the Jacobson–Witt algebra $W_n(\overline{m})$. Then, for any associative commutative algebra with unit B , $L \otimes B$ is a subalgebra of $W_n(\overline{m}) \otimes B$, and we may consider the corresponding Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence abutting to the homology $H_*(W_n(\overline{m}) \otimes B, K)$. In particular, some quotient of the term

$$E_{02}^1 = H_2(L \otimes B, K) \simeq \left(H_2(L, K) \otimes B \right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}(L) \otimes HC_1(B) \right)$$

contributes to

$$H_2(W_n(\overline{m}) \otimes B, K) \simeq \left(H_2(W_n(\overline{m}), K) \otimes B \right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{B}(W_n(\overline{m})) \otimes HC_1(B) \right)$$

(we used the formula (4) here). One may show that under some additional conditions on the embedding $L \hookrightarrow W_n(\overline{m})$ and on B , the relevant differentials of low degree in the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence interplay well with these tensor product structures, and, moreover, the relevant part of E_{02}^1 is preserved in such a way that $\mathcal{B}(L) \otimes HC_1(B)$ maps injectively to $\mathcal{B}(W_n(\overline{m})) \otimes HC_1(B)$. But since the latter vanishes, the former vanishes too.

However, this approach requires quite elaborated work, which does not seem warranted for a modest goal pursued in this note – to give a simple alternative proof of an already established result. We hope to return to it, nevertheless, in another context later.

At the end, let us outline a yet another elementary approach, peculiar to simple Lie algebras of contact type $K_{2n+1}(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ (this approach may work also for determining other invariants of such algebras, such as the second cohomology with trivial coefficients). According to [KS, Proposition 2 on p. 263][†], any such Lie algebra can be considered as a certain filtered deformation of the following semidirect sum of Lie algebras:

$$(6) \quad \left(H_{n-1}(m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}) \otimes O_1(m_n) \right) \in W_1(m_n),$$

where $H_{n-1}(m_1, \dots, m_{n-1})$ is the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian type, $O_1(m_n)$ is the reduced polynomial algebra, and $W_1(m_n)$ acts on the tensor product in a certain complicated way.

Applying: elementary considerations about invariant symmetric bilinear forms on the semidirect sum of Lie algebras; [Z, Theorem 4.1] expressing the space of symmetric bilinear forms on the current Lie algebra $L \otimes A$ in terms of its tensor components; Corollary 1; and the well-known fact that modular Lie algebras of Hamiltonian type have a single, up to scalar, invariant symmetric bilinear form (see the above-cited works), one can prove that the space of invariant symmetric bilinear forms on Lie algebras of kind (6) is 1-dimensional, explicitly writing down the single, up to scalar, form $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Knowing the invariant symmetric bilinear forms on a Lie algebra, it is possible to determine such forms on its deformation. Indeed, writing the deformed bracket, as usual, as

$$\{x, y\} = [x, y] + \varphi_1(x, y)t + \varphi_2(x, y)t^2 + \dots$$

one sees that the condition of invariance of the form

$$(x, y) = (x, y)_0 + (x, y)_1 t + (x, y)_2 t^2 + \dots$$

with respect to multiplication $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is equivalent to the series of equalities

$$([z, x], y)_n + (x, [z, y])_n + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n-1 \\ i, j \geq 0}} (\varphi_i(z, x), y)_j + (x, \varphi_i(z, y))_j = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The 0th of these equalities says that $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form on L with multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]$, while the subsequent ones can be interpreted as obstructions to prolongation of $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ to $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Utilizing the concrete structure of the deformation of (6) presented in [KS] and [K], one can arrive, via straightforward simple calculations, to the known result that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ may be prolonged to $K_{2n+1}(\overline{m})$ if and only if $2n + 1 \equiv -5 \pmod{p}$.

Thanks are due to the anonymous referee for useful comments which improved the readability of this note, and to Rolf Farnsteiner for kindly supplying a reprint of his paper [F].

[†] Strictly speaking, in [KS] the authors treat the restricted case only and remark that the general case is similar. The general case can be found, for example, in [K, pp. 2949–2950].

REFERENCES

- [D1] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Central extensions and invariant forms of Cartan type Lie algebras of positive characteristic*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **18** (1984), 331–332.
- [D2] ———, *Generalized Casimir elements*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **27** (1986), 391–400.
- [D3] ———, *Central extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **26** (1992), 247–253.
- [F] R. Farnsteiner, *The associative forms of the graded Cartan type Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295** (1986), 417–427.
- [KS] A.I. Kostrikin and I.R. Shafarevich, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **3** (1969), 237–304; reprinted in: I.R. Shafarevich, *Collected Mathematical Papers*, Springer, 1989, 443–510; *Works in 3 Volumes*, Vol. 3, Part II, Moscow, 1996, 31–121 (in Russian).
- [K] M.I. Kuznetsov, *On Lie algebras of contact type*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), 2943–3013.
- [L] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology*, 2nd ed., Springer, 1998.
- [S] S. Skryabin, *Degree one cohomology for the Lie algebras of derivations*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **14** (2004), 69–107.
- [SF] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [Z] P. Zusmanovich, *The second homology group of current Lie algebras*, Astérisque **226** (1994), 435–452; [arXiv:0808.0217](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.0217).

REYKJAVÍK ACADEMY, ICELAND
E-mail address: `pasha@akademia.is`